



# **Resident Assessment of Rotation**

NAME OF ROTATION: \_\_\_\_\_\_ ROTATION DATES: \_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF RESIDENT: \_\_\_\_\_ NAME OF PRECEPTOR: \_\_\_\_\_

Objectives:	1	2	3	4	NOT APPLICABLE
The objectives were defined and reviewed within the first 3 days of the rotation.	□ No discussion occurred regarding objectives.	□ Some objectives reviewed, others not well described OR reviewed late in rotation.	□ Majority of objectives were reviewed and discussed in an appropriate time frame.	□ All objectives clearly defined during first 3 days. Additional objectives as defined by the resident's own learning plan also discussed.	
The objectives were tailored to meet the individual learning needs of the resident.	□ Objectives were not tailored to meet individual learning needs.	□ Some objectives were appropriately selected, some were inappropriate for the resident's education level.	□ Majority of the objectives were tailored to meet the individual learning needs of the resident.	☐ All objectives were tailored to the level of knowledge of the resident and were defined and managed consistently.	
Planned activities were designed to meet the objectives.	Activities were not planned to meet the needs of the rotation and/or resident.	Some activities were appropriately selected, while some were inappropriate to achieve the rotation objectives.	D Majority of the activities were tailored to meet the individual learning needs of the resident and supported achievement of the rotation objectives.	□ All activities were tailored to the level of knowledge of the resident and supported achievement of the defined objectives.	





Expectations:	1	2	3	4	NOT
•					APPLICABLE
Ongoing communication occurred regarding work schedules and proposed resident meeting times.	□ No discussion occurred regarding expectations.	□ Some expectations were reviewed; however schedule was not flexible to meet the resident's needs.	☐ All expectations were reviewed and discussed. Schedule was flexible and allowed ample time to complete required tasks.	□ Expectations were clearly defined within the first 3 days of rotations. Additional expectations as defined by the resident's individual learning plan also discussed.	
Orientation to the clinic and health care team facilitated workflow.	□ Orientation not provided.	Resident was oriented to some of the clinic and some of the health care team members.	□ Resident was oriented to the clinic and all health care team members.	□ Resident was oriented to the entire clinic and all team members. Roles, expectations and relationships with pharmacy were discussed.	
Discussion of required activities facilitated understanding and promoted success.	Activities were not discussed and failed to meet the needs of the rotation and/or resident.	□ Activity expectations were discussed briefly, but were not elaborated upon.	Aajority of activities were discussed in detail and tailored to meet the individual learning needs of the resident. Examples were provided.	All activities were tailored to the level of knowledge of the resident and were appropriately discussed using examples as needed to achieve the defined objectives.	





Assessment:	1	2	3	4	NOT
					APPLICABLE
Formal assessments were conducted at mid point and at the end of the rotation period.	□ No assessments were provided.	□ Some aspects of assessments were conducted in a non- formal manner (verbal) and/or were not complete.	☐ Midpoint (verbal) and final (verbal and written) assessments were provided and resident was able to discuss results openly with preceptor.	□ Midpoint (verbal) and final (verbal and written) assessments were provided. Resident was able to openly discuss results with preceptor. Assessments were completed by the last day of the rotation.	
Assessments highlighted areas of strength and areas for improvement.	☐ Areas of strength/improvement were not discussed.	Areas of strengths/improvement were briefly discussed but not clearly defined.	Areas of strengths/improvements were usually discussed with resident (both written/verbal) with some defined steps and suggestions for improvement.	Areas of strengths/improvement were always discussed with the resident (both written/verbal) using concrete examples. Preceptor provided suggestions for improvement.	
Midpoint and final assessments were consistent with progress throughout the rotation.	□ Formal feedback was not consistent with feedback provided during the rotation.	Formal feedback contained some information not discussed in prior interactions with resident.	□ Feedback provided was usually consistent with the resident's progress throughout the rotation and was not a surprise to the resident.	Formal feedback provided was always consistent with the resident's progress. Resident was aware of strengths and areas for improvement prior to formal evaluation.	





Note: expected level is shaded area (#3).

General Comments/Suggestions: (may include items such as timing or length of rotation, interprofessional collaborations, rotation environment)

Resident Signature/Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Preceptor signature/Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewed by residency coordinator/date:

Adapted with permission from Trillium Health Centre, K. Cameron, June 2010. Do not use without consultation with <u>Cleo.Boyd@utoronto.ca</u> Adapted from UHN Year 1 residency program; Last updated May 2023