TORONTO GENERAL HOSPITAL/ McGILL UNIVERSITY HEALTH CENTRE HIV SPECIALTY RESIDENCY PROGRAM

CLINICAL ROTATION RESIDENT ASSESSMENT FORM

NAME OF ROTATION:	REPORT COVERS PERIOD FROM:TO
NAME OF RESIDENT:	NAME OF PRECEPTOR:
COMPLETED BY: Preceptor Resident (check one)	THIS IS A MIDPOINT OR FINAL ASSESSMENT (check one)
I HAVE REVIEWED PREVIOUS CLINICAL ROTATION FINAL ASSESS	SMENT: (signature of preceptor)

ROTATION OUTCOMES:

The resident will develop the clinical knowledge, skills, and professional values to:

- A. Provide direct patient care as a member of inter-professional teams
- B. Provide medication- and practice-related education
- C. Manage one's own practice of pharmacy

KNOWLEDGE CONTENT:

In this rotation the following drugs, disease states and clinical skills were discussed:

Drug therapies	Disease States	Clinical Skills

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
1. ACADEMIC/CONT	ENT KNOWLEDGE					
Medication						
knowledge	Inadequate fund of	Superficial fund of	Satisfactory fund of	Substantial fund of	Exceptional fund of	
	knowledge to apply	knowledge to apply	knowledge to	knowledge to	knowledge to	
	to the resolution of	to resolution of	resolve effectively	resolve consistently	resolve consistently	
	clinical problems at	clinical problems at	most clinical	and effectively all	and perceptively all	
	the designated level	the designated level	problems at the	clinical problems at	clinical problems at	
	of performance*	of performance.	designated level of	the designated level	the designated level	
			performance.	of performance.	of performance.	
Justify your rating us	sing concrete example	es:		Domain assessed in t	he following manner(s)	(check all that apply):
				resident/preceptor dis		
					nt interaction with health o	are team member
				review of therapeutic		
				review of written char	t documentation	
				presentation content		<i>(</i> 6 · 1)
					nt interaction with patients	s/family members
D'a construction de la construct				other (specify):		
Disease knowledge						
	Inadequate fund of	Superficial fund of	Satisfactory fund of	Substantial fund of	Exceptional fund of	
	knowledge to define	knowledge to define	knowledge to define	knowledge to define	knowledge to define	
	priorities and	priorities in diagnosis	priorities and	priorities and	priorities and	
	diagnosis and/or	and/or manage	manage effectively	manage consistently	manage consistently	
	manage clinical	clinical problems at	most clinical	and effectively all	and perceptively all	
	problems at the	the designated level	problems at the	clinical problems at	clinical problems at	
	designated level of	of performance.	designated level of	the designated level	the designated level	
1	performance.		performance.	of performance.	of performance.	(abaali all that annihi).
Justify your rating us	sing concrete example	S.			he following manner(s)	(check all that apply):
				□ resident/preceptor dis	nt interaction with health o	are teen member
				□ review of therapeutic		are team member
				□ review of written char		
				 presentation content 	decimentation	
					nt interaction with patients	family members
				□ other (specify):		
Ethical, Legal and						
Standards of	Inadequate funds of	Superficial funds of	Satisfactory funds of	Substantial funds of	Exceptional funds in	
Practice	knowledge in any or	knowledge in any or	knowledge in all	knowledge in all	all three domains to	
Practice		Knowledge in any of	KIIOWIEUUE III all	Kilowicuge in all		

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
	practice within	practice within	practice within	practice within	and perceptively	
	appropriate	appropriate	appropriate	appropriate	ensuring best	
	perimeters at the	perimeters at the	perimeters at the	perimeters at the	practices at the	
	designated level of	designated level of	designated level of	designated level of	designated level of	
	performance.	performance.	performance.	performance.	performance.	
Justify your rating u	sing concrete example	s:		 resident/preceptor dis observation of resider review of therapeutic review of written char presentation content 	nt interaction with health plans	care team member

*Designated level of performance = at the end of the rotation the resident will be able to independently manage moderately complex drug therapy problems. Moderately complex problems have either complex drug related knowledge required (ie. Protease inhibitor dosing/TDM) or a complex situation (ie. treatment failure)

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
2. CLINICAL SKILLS	AND APPLICATION O	F THE THERAPEUTIC	THOUGHT PROCESS			
Data gathering,						
medication history	Information gathered	Information gathered	Information gathered	Information gathered	Information gathered	
and literature	is incomplete, and/or	is superficial, but	is comprehensive,	is comprehensive,	is precise,	
review.	inaccurate; important	accurate, and/or	mostly relevant, and	relevant and	perceptive, and	
	information is	some important	accurate.	accurate.	appropriately	
	missing.	information is			detailed.	
	_	missing.				
Justify your rating us	sing concrete example	s:		 resident/preceptor disc review of literature sea observation of resident review of patient work review of references us presentation content 	rch strategy/results t interaction with health car	e team member intations, pt work ups
Identification of						
Drug Therapy	Fails to discern	Discerns some	Discerns sufficient	Discerns all relevant	Precisely discerns	
Problems	relevant from	relevant data, but	relevant data to	data to identify major	the relevant data,	
	irrelevant data; fails	not enough to	identify major	real and potential	weighs alternatives,	

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
	to identify the	identify consistently	problem(s), but may	problem(s) and	justifies choices,	
	patient's real and/or	the patient's real	not always prioritise	appropriately	synthesizes and	
	potential problems.	and/or potential	options effectively	prioritizes them.	integrates data to	
		problems.	and/or consistenly		identify all real and	
			distinguish between		potential problems,	
			the patient's real and		and appropriately	
			potential problems.		prioritizes them.	
Justify your rating u	ising concrete example	s:			he following manner(s)	(check all that apply):
				resident/preceptor dis		
				review of written char		
					nt interaction with health	care team member
				review of patient work		
					nt interaction with patients	s/family members
				resident case present	ation	
.	<u> </u>			other (specify):		
Determining						
endpoints and	Unable to determine	Determines some	Determines most	Determines	Determines all	
outcomes.	appropriate	appropriate	appropriate	appropriate	appropriate	
	endpoints and/or	endpoints and/or	endpoints and	endpoints and	endpoints and	
	outcomes.	outcomes.	outcomes.	outcomes,	outcomes	
				considering most	considering all	
				aspects of patient	aspects of patient	
				care.	care.	
Justify your rating u	ising concrete example	s:			he following manner(s)	(check all that apply):
				resident/preceptor dis		
				□ review of written char	t documentation	
				 observation of resider review of patient work 		care team member
					t interaction with patients	family mombars
				 resident case present 		shanniy members
				□ other (specify):	allon	
Clinical Decision	П				П	
making	Often poor and/or	Sometimes shows	Shows good	Good judgement and	Consistently arrives	1
	not derived from the	poor judgement;	judgement and	decision making	at right decision	
	data;difficulty in	some difficulty in	usually makes sound	skills; exhibits good	even on highly	
	arriving at decisions;	decision making.	clinical decisions;	problem solving	complex matters	
	fails to make use of	e coloron marang.	some difficulty in	skills.	without delay;	
	content knowledge		complex situations.	Grand.	analyzes available	
	and all available				data; produces	
	information.				concise, substantive	
	iniomation.				concise, substantive	

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
					problem list; superb clinical judgement.	
Justify your rating ι	ising concrete example	s:		 resident/preceptor dis observation of reside review of therapeutic review of written char presentation content 	nt interaction with health plans t documentation	care team member
Development of a Therapeutic Plan	□ Plans are incomplete or inappropriate; significant data is overlooked and/or difficulty is experienced in interpreting the available data.	□ Plans are frequently incomplete or superficial; significant data may be overlooked or misinterpreted.	□ Plans are usually complete, appropriate, and reflect the current standards of practice; most data is correctly interpreted and logically applied.	Plans are complete, appropriate, and reflect the current standards of practice; all data is correctly interpreted, logically applied, considering most aspects of patient care.	Plans are consistently complete, appropriate, and reflect best in current practice, precisely and perceptively interpreting all data, strategically applying data and considering all aspects of patient care.	
Justify your rating ι	ising concrete example	s:		 resident/preceptor dis observation of reside review of patient worl review of written char case presentation co 	nt interaction with health k ups/therapeutic plans t documentation	care team member
Establish and implement monitoring/follow- up plan	□ Plans are incomplete or inappropriate; significant monitoring parameters are overlooked and/or difficulty is experienced in	□ Plans are frequently incomplete or superficial; significant monitoring parameters may be overlooked or misinterpreted.	□ Plans are usually complete, appropriate, and reflect the current standards of practice; most monitoring parameters are	Plans are complete, appropriate, and reflect the current standards of practice; all monitoring parameters are correctly interpreted	□ Plans are consistently complete, appropriate, and reflect best in current practice, precisely and perceptively interpreting all	

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
	interpreting the available data.		correctly interpreted and logically applied.	and appropriate follow-up is implemented, some new drug therapy problems are subsequently identified (if applicable).	monitoring parameters with appropriate follow- up, strategically considering all aspects of patient care. All new drug therapy problems are subsequently identified (if applicable).	
Justify your rating us	sing concrete example	s:		 resident/preceptor dis observation of resider review of patient work review of written char case presentation cor 	he following manner(s) scussion nt interaction with health (ups/therapeutic plans t documentation	care team member
Patient Care Documentation (e.g,. chart notes, consult notes, etc.)	□ Often not completely accurate, incomplete, disorganized and/or confusing; not clearly expressed.	□ Sometimes inaccurate, incomplete, disorganized and/or confusing; not consistently presented in a clear, concise, understandable way.	□ Usually accurate, complete, adequately organized and referenced, and presented in a clear, understandable way.	□ In most cases, accurate, complete, adequately organized and referenced, and presented in a clear, concise, and understandable way.	□ Consistently accurate, comprehensive, coherently organized, concise, and referenced; excellent command of expression.	
Justify your rating us	sing concrete example	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		review of written char	sult notes (for internal/exte	

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
3. COMMUNICATION SK	ILLS					
 <u>3. COMMUNICATION SK</u> Communication with: Patients Caregivers Interviewing skills 	□ Often incomplete and/or inaccurate, difficult to follow and/or hard to understand and/or inappropriate for the specific individual(s).	□ Sometimes incomplete and/or inaccurate, superficial, rambling and not always understandable or inappropriate for the specific individual(s).	□ Usually complete and accurate, adequately organized, and understandable and appropriate for the specific individual(s).	 In most case appropriately, comprehensively and effectively focused, accurate, organized and delivered; consistently clearly expressed and appropriate for the 	□ Precisely focused, coherently organized, accurate, clearly and succinctly expressed and always appropriate for the specific individual(s).	
Justify your rating using	concrete examples:			specific individual(s). Domain assessed in th apply): • observation of resider • presentations to patient • patient/family member • other (specify):	ne following manner(s) nt interaction with patient nt/community groups	s/family members
Communication with: • Other phms • Interpro- fessional team	□ Often incomplete and/or inaccurate, difficult to follow and/or hard to understand and/or inappropriate for the specific professional.	□ Sometimes incomplete and/or inaccurate, superficial, rambling and not always understandable or inappropriate for the specific professional.	Usually complete and accurate, adequately organized, and understandable and appropriate for the specific professional.	In most case appropriately, comprehensively and effectively focused, accurate, organized and delivered; consistently clearly expressed and appropriate for the specific professional.	□ Precisely focused, coherently organized, accurate, clearly and succinctly expressed and always appropriate for the specific professional.	
Justify your rating using	concrete examples:			Domain assessed in th apply): observation of resider health care team mem other (specify):	nt interaction with health	care team member
Oral presentation and/or teaching • presentation skills, style, & content	□ Often incomplete and/or inaccurate, difficult to follow	□ Sometimes incomplete and/or inaccurate,	□ Usually complete and accurate, adequately	In most case appropriately, comprehensively and	□ Precisely focused, coherently organized, accurate	

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
	and/or hard to	superficial, rambling	organized, and	effectively focused,	and comprehensive,	
	understand and/or	and not always	understandable and	accurate, organized	clearly and	
	inappropriate for	understandable or	appropriate for the	and delivered;	succinctly	
	the specific	inappropriate for the	specific audience.	consistently clearly	expressed and	
	audience.	specific audience.		expressed and	always appropriate	
				appropriate for the	for the specific	
				specific audience.	audience.	
Justify your rating using	concrete examples:			Domain assessed in the	ne following manner(s)	(check all that
				apply):		
				formal presentation sl		
				formal presentation sl		
					eptorship of students (fac	culty of pharmacy)
				other (specify):		

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED	
4. PROFESSIONAL	AND INTERPERSONAL	BEHAVIOURS					
Pharmacist/Patient							
Relationships	Lacks	Inconsistent	Communicates	Establishes good	Establishes		
	communication	communication and	his/her concern for	rapport; listens	exceptional		
	skills; does not listen	interpersonal skills;	the patient;	actively to patients;	empathetic rapport;		
	to patients;	attention may be	establishes a rapport	is respectful,	excellent listening		
	discourteous and/or	focused more on	with the patient.	empathetic, and	skills; creates a		
	inappropriate.	perceived problems		caring.	caring therapeutic		
		than on patients.			relationship with		
					patients.		
Justify your rating us	sing concrete example	s:		Domain assessed in the following manner(s) (check all that apply):			
				resident/preceptor dis			
					nt interaction with patients		
					r feedback on resident pe	erformance	
				other (specify):			
Interpersonal Team							
Relationships	Behaviour interferes	Poor team player,	Active member of	Good, active team	An active member of		
	with the working of	behaviour does not	the team who works	player with	the team whose		
	the team;	facilitate the working	well with other	developing	leadership qualities		
	discourteous to other	of the team, difficulty	members, but whose	leadership qualities.	are recognized by		
	members of the	communicating with	leadership skills are		others; able to		
	team; undermines	team members; may	underdeveloped.		achieve best results		
	team; may be	fail to take			in difficult situations		

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
	condescending, patronizing, passive or aggressive.	appropriate responsibility for own contribution to the team.			without antagonizing others.	
Justify your rating using concrete examples:				 resident/preceptor dis observation of reside 	nt interaction with health nt interaction with pharma nber feedback	care team member
Sense of responsibility	□ Not responsible; does less than prescribed work; needs repeated reminders.	□ Cannot always be depended upon; needs reminders sometimes.	Dependable; reliable; hones; prompt; appropriate follow-up of patients.	□ Takes initiative; acts independently; always completes assigned tasks; reliable and honest.	□ Very conscientious, consistently displays exceptional attention to duties and is prepared to give extra time willingly.	
	ising concrete example	·S:		 resident/preceptor dis observation of reside review of patient worl review of written char formal presentation p observation of reside observation of reside health care team mer pharmacist feedback 	nt interaction with health k ups/therapeutic plans t documentation reparation and delivery nt interaction with patients nt interaction with pharma mber feedback	care team member s/family members acists
Self-Assessment Ability (Insight)	Unaware of own limitations; does not seek feedback; unable to request required assistance; unable to take advice professionally.	□ Inconsistent awareness of own limitations; some difficulty seeking feedback and taking advice professionally.	□ Usually aware of own limitations; often seeks feedback and/or assistance to overcome deficiencies.	□ Aware of own limitations; seeks feedback regularly and acts to improve behaviour.	U Well aware of own limitations; raises constructive questions; seeks feedback to excel. he following manner(s)	

1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
			 mid-rotation self-asse observation of resider review of patient work review of written char formal presentation p observation of resider observation of resider health care team mer pharmacist feedback 	nent post interaction/activessment of resident int interaction with health cups/therapeutic plans t documentation reparation and delivery int interaction with patien int interaction with pharm nber feedback	care team member ts/family members acists

MID-ROTATION ASSESSMENT OF RESIDENT

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
OVERALL						
ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE						

If overall performance at mid-point rated at 1 or 2, resident should develop and implement a plan to address the areas requiring improvement. Residency coordinator should be aware of and assist in development and implementation of plan, along with rotation preceptor. Individual areas also rated as a 1 or 2 should also have an action plan developed to address and improve these specific areas.

Resident's detailed action plan:

Resident signature:	Date:
Preceptor signature:	Date:

FINAL ROTATION ASSESSMENT OF RESIDENT

	1	2	3	4	5	NOT OBSERVED
OVERALL						
ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE						

Evaluation Domain	Domain Average	Weighting	Sub-Total
A: Knowledge	/5	X2	/10
B: Clinical Skills	/5	X2	/10
C: Communication Skills	/5	X1	/5
D: Professional/ interpersonal behaviours	/5	X1	/5
		Total:	/30

HONOURS (Average \geq 3.0 in each domain and total \geq 24/30)

 \square PASS (Average \ge 3.0 in each domain and total < 24/30)

 \square FAIL (Average < 3.0 in any one domain)

RESIDENT COMMENTS (INCLUDING STRENGTHS, AREAS TO IMPROVE):

PRECEPTOR COMMENTS (INCLUDING STRENGTHS, AREAS TO IMPROVE):

RESIDENCY DIRECTOR COMMENTS: (OPTIONAL)

Resident signature:	Date:
Preceptor signature:	Date:
Residency director signature:	Date:

Please forward copies of completed & signed final evaluation forms to <u>alice.tseng@uhn.ca</u> and <u>nancy.sheehan@umontreal.ca</u> within 1 week of the end of the rotation.

Adapted with permission from Trillium Health Centre, August 2010. Do not use without consultation with <u>Cleo.Boyd@utoronto.ca</u> Updated July 2014.