A Review of the Efficacy, Safety, and Pharmacokinetics of Raltegravir in Pregnancy

University Health Network

Annabelle Maliakkal¹, Alice Tseng^{1,2}, Sharon Walmsley^{1,2}

1. University of Toronto. 2. University Health Network, Toronto, Canada.

Abstract

- Recent pregnancy guidelines recommend raltegravir as a preferred integrase treatment option.
- Data from published articles and preliminary meeting reports between 2001 and July 2015 are reviewed.
- The standard raltegravir dose appears safe and effective in preventing mother to child transmission (MTCT) in late pregnancy presenters with unknown or unsuppressed viral load, or in multi-drug resistance.
- Raltegravir was well tolerated. No infant adverse effect was consistently reported.

Introduction

- Early and sustained virological control is associated with a lower risk of MTCT. Integrase inhibitor-based regimens are observed to suppress viral load rapidly.
- The DHHS guidelines have recently recommended raltegravir as a preferred option for initial therapy in pregnancy. This review was undertaken to collate available data on raltegravir use in pregnancy, including first trimester use, late pregnancy presenters, uncontrolled viremia, or multi-drug resistance.

Methods

- A search was performed on March and June 2015 in EMBASE, Google Scholar, MEDLINE, PubMed, and Web of Science
- Key words: (raltegravir OR RAL OR Isentress OR MK-0518), (pregnan*) AND (HIV OR HIV-1 OR human immunodeficiency virus)
- Results of all languages, published after 2001, were included.

Results and Discussion

- A total of 278 maternal-infant pairs (69% from retrospective case reports/series, 31% from 3 prospective clinical studies, ~50% peer-reviewed data) were reviewed.
- 87% were from resource-rich settings. Maternal ARV history: 9.3% naïve, 33% experienced, 58% not reported. 83% (122/147 cases) received ZDV infusion at delivery and 79% (143/182 cases) underwent Caesarean section.
- Maternal outcomes were not frequently reported. The only consistently reported effect was a reversible and transient increase in liver transaminase.
- Most infants were born without adverse outcomes; of the reported events, there was no consistency among type or severity.
- There were 2 cases where the infant tested positive after birth: one was likely an in utero transmission before initiation of raltegravir, while details on maternal viral load at delivery, drug resistance and adherence were lacking in the second case.

Pharmacokinetic Properties of Raltegravir

- Physiologic changes in pregnancy lowers maternal raltegravir exposures, often with subtherapeutic trough plasma concentrations, but MTCT was not observed.
- Rapid and high transplacental passage, prolonged neonate elimination, and high cervicovaginal fluid:blood plasma ratios contribute to the potential use of raltegravir for infant preexposure prophylaxis.
- Adherence may be a factor in the rate of viral decay.

Limitations and Areas for Further study

- The quality and type of reports available (Table 1) varied significantly, and maternal and infant characteristics failed to be reported in a consistent manner.
- The number of cases reviewed is too small to rule out uncommon toxicities or potential increases in birth defect prevalence in pregnancy, or to generalize findings to the use of alternative integrase inhibitor.

Conclusions

- Due to the inherent pharmacokinetic variability of raltegravir, the highly variable but overall reduced maternal plasma raltegravir levels do not appear to affect viral suppression.
- The viral decay associated with raltegravir treatment is reliably rapid and most women delivered at undetectable viral levels.
- Raltegravir is highly transferred across placenta and has prolonged elimination in the neonate. These two properties support its efficacy in preventing MTCT.
- There is evidence for maternal safety with the exception of transient increase in maternal transaminases. However, the relation to raltegravir is unclear.
- No infant adverse effect was consistently reported.
- Raltegravir 400 mg twice daily appears efficacious and safe for both ARV-naïve and ARV-experienced pregnant women.

Acknowledgements

Sandra Shan Jiang prepared the presentation poster.

Declaration of Interest

- AM declares no conflict of interest.
- SW has served on advisory boards and spoken at CME events for AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Merck and ViiV.
- AT has served on advisory boards and spoken at CME events for Gilead, Janssen and Merck

00000	()X	<u> xoo</u>		a Prospe		1	18 No. 18 No. 18	200 CO 200 CO 200	<u> 00x</u>	0.0.0	<u>x0x0</u>	<u>x0000</u>	<u>0000</u>
ARV treatment history (Reference)	n	Age (years)	RAL indication	Time RAL initiated		Duration of Concomit RAL exposure (weeks)		Concomitar	nt ARVs Baseline HIV RNA (copies/ mL)		HIV RNA at delivery (copies/ mL)	ZDV infusion a delivery	
NR (Blonk et al. Clin Infect Dis 2015.)	22	33 (29- 36)	suppression; optimization of	32% before conception NR 68% NRTI 9% first trimester 59% PI 27% second trimester 9% NNRTI 32% third trimester 9% entry inh		nibitor	NR		86% <50	NR			
NR Watts et al. JAJDS 2014.)	42	30 (19- 43)	NR f	weeks NRTI's 2% NRTI NNRTI 41% NRT		2% NRTI's p	us us Pl	PI		92% <400 <48 (20- 52066) (n=39)	NR		
NR (Clarke et al. JAIDS 2014.)	22	NR		At least two wee before conceptio		NR		NR		NR		NR	NR
			1b Pee	r review	ed c	ase s	tudie	es and s	erie	s			
ARV treatment history (Reference)	n	Age (years)	RAL indication	Time RAL initiated	RAL e	ition of xposure eeks)	Conco	mitant ARVs	R	line HIV :NA es/ mL)		HIV RNA at delivery copies/ mL)	ZDV infusion at delivery
Naïve (De Hoffer et al. J Chemother 2013.)	1	31	Rapid viral suppression	n 35 weeks	2.7		ZDV, 3T	C, LPV/r 8903			20		Yes
Vaïve (Hegazi. Int J TD AIDS 2013.)	1	28	Rapid viral suppression	n 28 weeks	10.1		TDF, FT	C, SQV/r 1.74 x		107	208		Yes
Naïve Renet et al. J Obstet Synaecol Can 2013.)	1	34	Rapid viral suppression	n 36 weeks	1.7		ZDV, 3T	C, LPV/r	, LPV/r 523 975		376 with 2.58 logs (11 days after delivery)		Yes
Naïve Westling et al. AIDS Pat Care STDS 2012.)	4	26.5 (16-29)	Rapid viral suppression late presenter	n; 35.5 (31-37) weeks	2.4 (1	.1 - 7.0)	dual NF			0 (65 637 000)			75% Yes
20% naïve Taylor et al. Int J STD NDS 2011.)	5	39 (32-39)	Rapid viral suppression optimization of therapy; intolerance to other ARVs; late presenter	weeks	3.3 (2	.4 – 6.6)	20% NR 20% du 20% du	6 dual NRTI 4.25 6 NRTI + PI 5.43) 6 dual NRTI + PI copie 6 dual NRTI + PI usion inhibitor				<1.60 log ss/mL	Yes
50% naïve (McLaughlin et al. J AIDS Clin Res 2014.)	8	32.5 (21-41)	Rapid viral suppression intolerance to other ARVs	n; 36 (21-39) weeks	1 (0-1	8)	75% du 25% du	ial NRTI + PI 41 083 (2 ial NRTI 351 321)			911 (<20 - 13 717)		NR
54% naïve Boucoiran et al. Can J of Infect Dis & Med Micro 2015.)	11	31 (21-39)	Late presenter; multi- class resistance; suboptimal adherence	35.7 weeks (31.1-38.0)	2.9 (0 10.1)	.1 -	dual NF	ual NRTI + PI 73 9 523				<1000 <50	Yes
Experienced Adeyemo et al. Int J STD AIDS 2013.)	3	NR	Optimization of therap	y 38 weeks	2		NR		23 984	3 984 2 log		viral decay	NR
Experienced Cha et al. J Int Assoc Irovid AIDS Care 1013.)	1	30	Rapid viral suppression	n 33 weeks	5		ZDV, 3TC, LPV/r		106 110 200			Yes	
Experienced Jaworsky et al. Intiviral Ther 2010.)	1	19	Multi-class resistance	21 weeks prior to conception	61		3TC, ZDV, TDF, ETR, DRV/r		185 719 <50			NR	
Experienced Shust et al. J Pedia Infect Dis Soc 2014.)	6	21	Multi-class resistance	NR	NR		NR	NR		60% <		<400	Yes
NR (Nobrega et al. NDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2013.)	14	29.5 (17-37)	Late presenter	36 (34-38) weeks	2.5 (1	-4.5)	57% du 36% du	al NRTI + PI al NRTI	35 364 391 53		50% 29%		Yes

1c Preliminary meeting reports and letters to the editor

			LC Prenninary	meeting rep	onts and	ietters to tr	ie euitoi		
ARV treatment history (Reference)	n	Age (years)	RAL indication	Time RAL initiated	Duration of RAL exposure (weeks)	Concomitant ARVs	Baseline HIV RNA (copies/ mL)	HIV RNA at delivery (copies/ mL)	ZDV infusion at delivery
Naïve (Saxon et al.	1	35	Rapid viral suppression	39 weeks	1.9	TDF, FTC, LPV/r	3057	<40	Yes
HV Med 2013.) 8% native (Rosenvinge et al. HIV Med 2012.)	59	31	High VL; intolerance to other ARVs; resistance to other ARVs; late presenter; preloading of neonate in threatened preterm birth; planned amniocentesis	31 weeks	9 weeks	Median 2 ART classes	957 (<20 – 17 400 000)	65% <50 93% <400	63% Yes
20% naïve (Cecchini et al. Enfermedades Infecciosas y Micarobiologia Clinica 2014.)	10	19 (18- 31)	Late presenter; optimization of therapy; part of initial HAART	NR	4.4 (1 - 6.6)	2 NRTI + PI/r	2445 (<50 – 28.100)	80% <50	Yes
Experienced (Croci et al. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2012.)	1	22	Multi-class resistance	Since conception	39	TDF, FTC, LPV/r	<20	<20	Yes
Experienced (Lopez-Varela et al. An Pediatr (Barc) 2012.)	1	17	Rapid viral suppression	36 weeks	4	ZDV, 3TC, LPV/r	1902	Undetectable	NR
Experienced (McKeown et al. AIDS 2010.)	3	32 (26- 39)	Multi-class resistance; intolerance to other ARVs; suboptimal adherence; late presenter	35 (28-39) weeks	6 (2-12)	33% dual NRTI + PI 33% dual NRTI + NNRTI 33% 3 NRTI + NNRTI	22 507 (183 - 67 100)	66% <40 100% <400	66% Yes
Experienced (Pinnetti et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010.)	1	NR	Rapid viral suppression	38 weeks	1.3	TDF, ZDV, 3TC, DRV/r	75 584	260	Yes
NR (Jeantils et al. 53 rd Int Conf on Antilb & Antilb Chemo 2013.)	28	31 (18- 42)	Part of initial HAART; intolerance to other ARVs; suboptimal adherence; late presenter	18% before pregnancy	11	NR	13 647 (61- 114638)	80% <40	Yes
NR (Leonard et al. HIV Med 2014.)	8	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NR (Trahan et al. 8 th IAS 2015.)	18	NR	High VL; resistance to other ARVs, late presenter	28% pre-pregnancy 72% during pregnancy (31.9 weeks)	NR	Pl-based	NR	78% undetectable	NR
NR (van Halsema et al. HIV Med 2013.)	6	NR	Optimization of therapy; intolerance to other ARVs C = lamoudure: DRV/r = da	32 weeks	NR	NR	NR	All <40	NR

id: ARV = antiretroviral; 3TC = lamivudine; DR oside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NNRTI = ne vir: ZDV = zi

Table 2 Comparison of pharmacokinetic parameters of raltegravir 400 mg BID in different female populations										
	2nd trimester of pregnancy	3rd trimester	of pregnancy	Postpa	rtum	Non-pregnant population (historical data)				
	Watts et al.	Watts et al.	Blonk et al.	Watts et al.	Blonk et al.	Rizk et al.				
AUC ₀₋₁₂ (ug*h/mL)	6.6 (2.1–18.5)	5.4 (1.4-35.6)	5.00 (3.56-7.01)	11.6 (1.6–39.9)	7.11 (4.91-10.30)	5.839				
C _{max} (ug/mL)	2.250 (0.365-5.960)	1.770 (0.315-7.820)	1.43 (0.93 – 2.22)	3.035 (0.312-12.600)	1.76 (1.10-2.80)	1.502				
C _{12h} (ug/mL)	0.0621 (0.0128-0.438)	0.064 (0.0114-0.607)	0.077 (0.043-0.137)	0.0797 (0.0199-1.340)	0.120 (0.074-0.193)	0.114				
T _{max} (h)	4.0 (1.0-8.0)	2.0 (0-12.0)	1.98 (0-11.3)	2.0 (0-8.0)	2.03 (0-7.97)	ntration: T				

maximum concentration

6th Internationa Workshop on HIV 8 1, February 21-22, 2015, Seattle, WA

TORONTO Abstract No. P_30

UNIVERSITY OF